

Ch 5.1.4-5: More Cross-Validation

Lecture 14 - CMSE 381

Michigan State University

::

Dept of Computational Mathematics, Science & Engineering

Wed, Feb 18, 2026

Announcements

Last time:

- k-fold CV

This lecture:

- More k -fold CV
- Bias-Variance Tradeoff
- CV for classification



11	F	2/6	Multiple Logistic Regression / Multinomial Logistic Regression	4.3.4-5	HW #2 Due Mon 2/9	
	M	2/9	Project Day & Review			
	W	2/11	Midterm #1			
12	F	2/13	Class not held			
13	M	2/16	Leave one out and k-fold CV	5.1.1-3		
14	W	2/18	More k-fold CV	5.1.4-5		Q5
15	F	2/20	k-fold CV for classification	5.1.5		
16	M	2/23	Subset selection	6.1		
17	W	2/25	Shrinkage: Ridge	6.2.1		
18	F	2/27	Shrinkage: Lasso	6.2.2	HW #3 Due Sun 3/1	
	M	3/2	Spring Break			
	W	3/4	Spring Break			
	F	3/6	Spring Break			
19	M	3/9	PCA	6.3		
20	W	3/11	PCR	6.3		Q6

Section 1


k-fold CV

Approximations of Test Error


Validation Set




LOOCV




LOOCV



K-fold CV




10-fold CV



Definition of k -fold CV



- Randomly split data into k -groups (folds)
- Approximately equal sized. For the sake of notation, say each set has ℓ points
- Remove i th fold U_i and reserve for testing.
- Train the model on remaining points
- Calculate
$$\text{MSE}_i = \frac{1}{\ell} \sum_{(x_j, y_j) \in U_i} (y_j - \hat{y}_j)^2$$
- Rinse and repeat





Return

$$CV_{(k)} = \frac{1}{k} \sum_{i=1}^k \text{MSE}_i$$



Comparison with simulated data: Ex 3



Comparison with simulated data: Ex 1



Comparison with simulated data: Ex 2



Takeaways from the examples

Bias-Variance Tradeoff: Bias

$$E(y_0 - \hat{f}(x_0))^2 = \text{Var}(\hat{f}(x_0)) + [\text{Bias}(\hat{f}(x_0))]^2 + \text{Var}(\varepsilon)$$

Bias-Variance Tradeoff: Variance

$$E(y_0 - \hat{f}(x_0))^2 = \text{Var}(\hat{f}(x_0)) + [\text{Bias}(\hat{f}(x_0))]^2 + \text{Var}(\varepsilon)$$

In short: Validation vs Test

- all the time, we are pretending the validation set etc is the test set...
- when it is not.

RESEARCH

RESEARCH ARTICLE


NEUROSCIENCE

Illusory generalizability of clinical prediction models

Adam M. Chekroud^{1,2*}, Matt Hawrilenko¹, Hieronimus Loho², Julia Bondar¹, Ralitzia Gueorguieva³, Alkomiet Hasan⁴, Joseph Kambeitz⁵, Philip R. Corlett², Nikolaos Koutsouleris⁶, Harlan M. Krumholz⁷, John H. Krystal², Martin Paulus⁸

It is widely hoped that statistical models can improve decision-making related to medical treatments. Because of the cost and scarcity of medical outcomes data, this hope is typically based on investigators observing a model's success in one or two datasets or clinical contexts. We scrutinized this optimism by examining how well a machine learning model performed across several independent clinical trials of antipsychotic medication for schizophrenia. Models predicted patient outcomes with high accuracy within the trial in which the model was developed but performed no better than chance when applied out-of-sample. Pooling data across trials to predict outcomes in the trial left out did not improve predictions. These results suggest that models predicting treatment outcomes in schizophrenia are highly context-dependent and may have limited generalizability.

Real-world example: Chekroud et al., Science 383, 164–167 (2024)



Section 2

Using K-Fold CV on Polynomial Linear Regression

Polynomial regression

Replace linear model

$$y_i = \beta_0 + \beta_1 x_1 + \varepsilon_i$$

with

$$y_i = \beta_0 + \beta_1 x_1 + \beta_2 x_1^2 + \cdots + \beta_d x_1^d + \varepsilon_i$$

Faking linear regression into doing our work for us

Coding - Build a plot for train/test scores vs flexibility

Next time

11	F	2/6	Multiple Logistic Regression / Multinomial Logistic Regression	4.3.4-5	HW #2 Due Mon 2/9	
	M	2/9	Project Day & Review			
	W	2/11	Midterm #1			
12	F	2/13	Class not held			
13	M	2/16	Leave one out and k-fold CV	5.1.1-3		Q5
14	W	2/18	More k-fold CV	5.1.4-5		
15	F	2/20	k-fold CV for classification	5.1.5		
16	M	2/23	Subset selection	6.1		
17	W	2/25	Shrinkage: Ridge	6.2.1		
18	F	2/27	Shrinkage: Lasso	6.2.2	HW #3 Due Sun 3/1	
	M	3/2	Spring Break			
	W	3/4	Spring Break			
	F	3/6	Spring Break			
19	M	3/9	PCA	6.3		Q6
20	W	3/11	PCR	6.3		